**EINGANG LTS-PAT** 

**PCT** 

25. Juni Notification of Transmittal OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 72.2)

Monophorese "

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

SCHMIDT, Werner LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme AG Postfach 1525 D-56605 Andernach ALLEMAGNE

07 June 2001 (07.06.01)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1998/103

International application No. PCT/EP99/08042

**IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION** 

International filing date (day/month/year) 23 October 1999 (23.10.99)

Applicant

LTS LOHMANN THERAPIE-SYSTEME AG et al

#### 1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

AU,CA,CN,JP,KR,NZ,PL,US

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

EP, BR, CZ, HU, IL, IN, MX, RU, TR, ZA

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ali SOLEIMAN

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38



# PATENT COOPERATION TREETY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Q

Applicant's or agent's file reference LTS 1998/103 WO	FOR FURTHER A	CTION		cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing da		-	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP99/08042	23 October 19	999 (23.	10.99)	03 November 1998 (03.11.98)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K 9/70							
Applicant  LTS LOHMANN THERAPIE-SYSTEME AG							
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	7 sheets,	, including	g this cover sl	neet.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard	to novelt	, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of inv	vention						
V Reasoned statemen citations and explan	t under Article 35(2) wations supporting such	ith regard statemen	to novelty, in	nventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain documents	cited						
VII Certain defects in the	ne international applica	tion		•			
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
			<del></del>				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of o	completion of	this report			
15 May 2000 (15.05.00)			23 Fel	oruary 2001 (23.02.2001)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			ed officer				
Facsimile No.			ie No. <sub>尼</sub>				





PCT/EP99/08042

		he report			
1. Th	is repo der Arti	ort has been drawn cle 14 are referred to	on the basis o	f (Replacement she as "originally filed	eets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation " and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):
		the internations	ıl application a	s originally filed	
	$\boxtimes$	the description,	pages	1-11	, as originally filed,
		•	pages		, filed with the demand,
•			pages		, filed with the letter of
			pages	<del></del>	, filed with the letter of
		the claims,	Nos	1-50	, as originally filed,
		· · ·			, as originally fried,, as amended under Article 19,
					, filed with the demand,
					, filed with the letter of
			1105.		, filed with the letter of
		the drawings,	sheets/fig		, as originally filed,
			sheets/fig _		_ , filed with the demand,
			sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of,
			sheets/fig	<u> </u>	
2. The	amend	ments have result			
		the claims,			
		the drawings,	sheets/fig		·
3 4. Addi	to go	report has been es beyond the disclo	osure as med, a	(some of) the an	mendments had not been made, since they have been considered e Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
					· ·
					·



## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ernational application No.

PCT/EP99/08042

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:	an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be				
the entire international application.					
Claims Nos. 19-37,50					
because:					
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international application, or the said claims Nos.	19-37,50 mational preliminary examination (specify):				
See annex	,				
	•				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below	ow) or said claims Nos				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements beloare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	Wy of Said Claims 1405.				
the claims, or said claims Nos. by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	are so inadequately supported				
no international search report has been established for said claims No.	_				
The state of the section report has occil estate for Salu Claims 140;	S				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III

1. Claims 19-37 and 50 relate to a subject matter which, in the opinion of this Authority, falls under PCT Rule 67.1(iv). Consequently, a report is not established for the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (PCT Article 34(4)(a)(i)).

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

aternational application No. PCT/EP 99/08042

NO

YES

NO

3-6, 16, 17, 18, 19-37, 41-43

1-18,38-49

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

3-6, 16, 17, 18, 19-37, 41-43

YES

Claims

1, 2, 7-15, 38-40, 44, 46-49, 50

YES

. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

The report makes reference to the following documents:

Claims

Claims

Claims

D1: US-A-4 767 402

D2: WO-A-90/01971

D3: SHOZO MIYAZAKI ET AL, CHEMICAL AND

PHARMACEUTICAL BULLETIN, JP, PHARMACEUTICAL

SOCIETY OF JAPAN, TOKYO, Vol. 40, No. 10, 1

October 1992 (1992-10-01), pages 2826-2830,

XP000324877 ISSN: 0009-2363.

1.1 D1 discloses the use of ultrasound to improve the transdermal administration of drugs (column 2, lines 29-34). Ultrasound is used directly after the drug has been administered, the latter via an aqueous or inorganic gel. It is also possible to release the drug via a plaster containing the active substances (column 4, line 12 - column 5, line 31; Claims 1-8). The ultrasound treatment does not correspond to the ultrasound treatment in the application (Claims 2, 6-13, 20, 24-33). When manitol or insulin were tested on rats, the release of the active ingredients following application was observed over a fairly long period of time (see Ex. 2 and in particular Fig. 4).

- 1.2 D2 discloses how ultrasound can be used to improve the release of drugs in the inside of the mouth via the mouth mucous membrane (claims, p. 6, line 19 p. 10, line 22; p. 8, line 19 p. 9, line 24; Claims 1-15).
- 1.3 D3 discloses the effects of an ultrasound treatment during a transdermal dosage of indomethacin. An ointment is applied and then the transfer of the drug with and without the application of ultrasound is determined (Fig. 1 3; Tables I-III; see also the abstract). The treatment thus comprises an initial phase with the application of ultrasound and a longer phase in which the ointment remains on the skin. The influence of the duration of the ultrasound treatment on the transfer of the active substances was also examined. With applications of longer than 20 minutes the transfer was weaker than with shorter applications (Table III).

#### Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

- 2.1 The present application comprises the independent Claims 1 (use), 19 (method) and 50 (use). The feature common to all the claims is the transdermal administration of an active substance. Administration comprises an initial phase in which ultrasound treatment is carried out and a subsequent longer phase without ultrasound treatment. Claim 38 concerns the device for carrying out the method.
- 2.2 Independent Claim 1
  The subject matter of independent Claim 1 is anticipated by D3 (see point 1.3).

PCT/EP 99/08042

The same objection applies to Claims 2 and 7-15 which are dependent on Claim 1.

- 2.3 Independent Claim 19

  The subject matter of independent Claim 19 and that of Claims 20-37 which are dependent thereon is not anticipated by the cited prior art.
- 2.4 Independent Claim 38

  The subject matter of Claim 38 is anticipated by D1,
  D2 and D3 (see 1). The same also applies to Claims
  39-41 (D1), 44 (D3) and 46-49 (D1 and D2) which are dependent thereon.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that the claim concerns a device which must be suitable for the purpose indicated.

Furthermore, in the opinion of the Examining Authority, "TTS" (see p. 6, lines 5-10 of the description) "a device or administration method containing a medical substance..." includes all systems that contain a medical substance and can be applied to the skin, for example, also ointments. The systems in D2 can also be used transdermally (p. 8, line 19 - p. 9, line 12).

- 2.5 Independent Claim 50
  The subject matter of independent Claim 50 is anticipated by D3.
- 3. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))
- 3.1 Plaster systems for dosing active substances are generally known in transdermal therapy. These plaster systems are usually worn in a longer phase. It is known from D1-D3 that ultrasound can be used to reduce the lag-time in transdermal/transbuccal

# ernational application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP 99/08042

therapy (see 1). D1 and D2 indicate in particular that the known plaster systems can be used in ultrasound treatment. With respect to D1, it is therefore considered obvious for a person skilled in the art seeking to achieve a shorter lag-time to begin the normal application method of plaster/transdermal systems with an ultrasound treatment.

It is not apparent at present what surprising effect can be achieved by using a plaster containing an active substance or composition more specific than that named in D1. This objection applies to all of the claims which are not anticipated in a manner that is prejudicial to novelty.

- 4. Industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4))
- 4.1 The subject matter of Claims 38-49 meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).
- 4.2 The PCT does not contain uniform criteria for assessing the industrial applicability of Claims 1 to 18 in their present form. Patentability may also depend on the wording of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise the industrial applicability of claims to the medical use of a compound; it does, however, allow claims to the first medical use of a known compound or to the use of such a compound in the manufacture a drug for a new medical application.